## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAMES E. JUSTICE, II,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Case No. CIV-08-087-RAW
	)	
PATRICIA G. JUSTICE,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

## <u>ORDER</u>

Before the court is the motion of the plaintiff for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. The court finds that plaintiff has satisfied the requirements to so proceed. The court goes on, however, to sua sponte consider whether the complaint should be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. *See* 28 U.S.C. §1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

Plaintiff sues the woman who is apparently still his wife under 42 U.S.C. §1983. In order to hold a private individual liable under §1983 for a constitutional violation requiring state action, a plaintiff must show that the individual's conduct is fairly attributable to the State. *Pino v. Higgs*, 75 F.3d 1461, 1465 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir.1996). Here, plaintiff appears to allege that the defendant reported some of his conduct to the police, resulting in plaintiff's arrest. A private party who reports criminal activity to state officials "who then take whatever action they believe the facts warrant" cannot be held liable as a "state actor" under §1983. *See Lee v. Town of Estes Park, Colo.*, 820 F.2d 1112, 1115-16 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir.1987); *see also Gallagher v. Neil Young Freedom Concert*, 49 F.3d 1442, 1454 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir.1995). Plaintiff has failed to state a federal claim.

It is the Order of the Court that the motion of the plaintiff for leave to proceed in forma pauperis (#3) is hereby GRANTED. On its own motion, the court orders the complaint dismissed without prejudice.

ORDERED THIS 28th DAY OF MARCH, 2008.

Dated this 28th Day of March 2008.

J4h4i0

Ronald A. White

United States District Judge Eastern District of Oklahoma